

Department of Veterans Benefits
Veterans Administration
Washington, D.C. 20420

DVB Circular 26-80-34
Change 3
July 27, 1983

PROCESSING PLANNED-UNIT DEVELOPMENTS
(OTHER THAN CONDOMINIUMS)
Loan Guaranty Letter 80-54

1. Since the implementation of this circular in 1980, stations have gained experience in the processing of PUD's (Planned-Unit Developments) consisting of or including manufactured home sites. As a result, manufactured home PUD projects are now decentralized for station processing and, therefore, Central Office review is no longer necessary. However, problems on specific submissions may be referred to Central office (262) or (264) for guidance and assistance if deemed necessary.

2. DVB Circular 26-80-34 is changed as follows:

Page 18a (as added by change 2), paragraph 15d

Heading: After "Required" add "for New Towns".

Subparagraph (1), line 3: Delete "the following:" and insert "'new towns.'"

Subparagraph (1) (a) Delete.

Page 18b (as added by change 2), paragraph 15d(l)(b), line 1: Delete "(b) 'New towns.'"

DOROTHY L. STARBUCK
Chief Benefits Director

Distribution: CO: RPC 2022 plus 25 additional copies to (26A1)
FD FLD: RPC 2022 plus 5 additional copies each to District Counsel in ROA

PROCESSING PLANNED-UNIT DEVELOPMENTS
(OTHER THAN CONDOMINIUMS)
Loan Guaranty Letter 80-54

1. Experience has indicated that in certain cases the processing of PUD'S (Planned-Unit Developments) can be simplified and the timeliness of final decisions improved. Many times the legal documentation accompanying a new PUD submission is similar to the legal documentation submitted for a previously approved PUD. Sometimes the only variation is the name and legal description. on other occasions it has been observed that the documentation is based on the VA Form 26-8200 series, Suggested Legal Documents for Planned-Unit Developments, with the blanks appropriately filled in or with other minor variations. It has been determined that in such instances VA can limit or waive the review of the documentation by local District Counsels. This limitation or waiver should expedite the review, resulting in quicker responses to the sponsor in these cases.
2. To qualify for the waiver or limitation of District Counsel review the sponsor or sponsor's attorney must submit with the request for PUD approval a certification that the documents submitted are based on the VA's Suggested Legal Documents for Planned-Unit Developments with the blanks completed or that the documents are similar to those of a previously approved PUD, identifying the subdivision which was previously VA approved. The certification must also specifically identify the variations; e.g., name, legal description and any other differences from the previously approved subdivision or the Suggested Legal Documents for Planned-Unit Developments. The person certifying must be knowledgeable of VA's PUD requirements and generally experienced in planned communities. The decision to limit or to eliminate District Counsel review shall be at the discretion of the Loan Guaranty Officer, but it may be determined at any time that it is in the best interests of veterans and of the Government to require a full review of project documentation.
3. DVB Circular 26-80-34 is changed as follows:

Page 17 and 18: Remove these pages and substitute pages 17 through 18 attached.
4. Stations will reproduce paragraphs 1 and 2 of this change for distribution to builders, developers, and lenders, as an amendment to the local release concerning DVB Circular 26-80-34. Copies of these releases need not be sent to Central Office.
5. The Office of the General Counsel concurs.

DVB Circular 26-80-34
Change 2

October 16, 1981

6. RESCISSION: Change 1 to DVB Circular 26-80-34.

DOROTHY L. STARBUCK
Chief Benefits Director

Distribution: CO: RPC 2022 plus 25 additional copies to (26A5)
FD FLD: RPC 2022 plus 5 additional copies to District Counsel in ROA

August 27, 1980

PROCESSING PLANNED-UNIT DEVELOPMENTS
(OTHER THAN CONDOMINIUMS)

1. Purpose. The following policies and procedures are provided to assist field stations in processing planned-unit developments other than condominiums. Condominiums are treated separately in DVB Circular 2679-23. Stations should note that paragraph 15c delegates authority for processing and final action on most planned-unit development submissions to field stations.

2. Definitions

a. PUD (Planned-Unit Development). A subdivision of land into lots for use predominantly for owner-occupied homes which contains common land comprising an essential or major element of the development (e.g., usable open space, pool, community building, tennis courts, other recreational facilities, etc.), such common land being owned by a homes association (usually incorporated) to which all homeowners must belong and to which they must pay lien-supported assessments. A PUD is designed and organized to be capable of satisfactory use and operation as a separate entity; or as expanded by annexation of additional land area; or a group of contiguous subdivisions, either operating as separate entities or into a single consolidated entity.

b. HOA (Homeowners Association). A nonprofit organization operating under recorded land covenants through which (1) each lot owner in a planned-unit development is automatically a member, and (2) each lot is automatically subject to a lien-supported charge for a proportionate share of the expenses for organization activities such as maintaining common area.

c. Common Area. A parcel or parcels of land, together with the improvements thereon, the use and enjoyment of which are shared by the owners and occupants of the individual building sites in the PUD.

3. Requirements for Special Processing Consideration

a. The requester must apply for VA acceptance of the overall project by submitting documents shown in item 1 of exhibit A, as applicable.

b. Before a project may qualify to be processed as a PUD, submitted documents must be reviewed and found to meet the general requirements shown below, except as noted. The use of the VA Form 26-8200 series, Suggested Legal Documents for Planned-Unit Developments,

is not mandatory but should be encouraged, as it contains all of the VA requirements and expedites document review. Draftsmen who prefer to utilize different formats and language must be cautioned to have documents comply with requirements of this circular. For convenient reference, many of the requirements are followed by a citation to the comparable provision in the VA-suggested legal documents. Sponsors should be aware that deviations from the suggested documents may delay the review, but that

the delay will be minimized by an identification of the deviation and an explanation of why it was used.

c. In very large developments it may be necessary to create an association for the larger area (referred to as an "umbrella" PUD) along with several smaller associations for the various clusters or villages which make up the entire project. The large association provides facilities and services used on a broad scale to serve the larger community area. Many of the provisions in the documentation for the umbrella PUD will be in general terms and will lack some of the provisions contained in VA-suggested documents. In this type development the initial submission will generally not include documentation for any of the sub associations. However, each association level must be reviewed and approved separately as submitted. Care must be exercised to confirm that homeowners are automatically members of each association and that they have representation in each association.

d. In staged or phased developments where the developer proposes to build the project in separate phases, generally the initial stage is subjected to the covenants with provisions for annexation of future stages as construction and sales progress. In those instances the developer must submit, to VA a general plan of the entire proposed development at the time of submission of the first stage. The general plan should contain:

- (1) A general indication of size and location of additional developments to be added in subsequent stages and proposed land uses in each;
- (2) The approximate size and location of common properties proposed for each stage;
- (3) The general nature of proposed common facilities and improvements; and
- (4) A statement that the proposed additions, if made, will become subject to assessment for their share of association expenses. The proposed overall general plan must be reviewed and approved prior to approval of the initial development stage (VA Form 26-8200, paragraph 7, and paragraph 5c of this circular).

3.1 Processing Established Existing PUDs (Planned-Unit Developments)

a. When a unit proposed as security for a VA guaranteed loan is located in a PUD, it is the lender's responsibility to determine that the PUD has been previously accepted by VA, or accepted by HUD/FHA and is eligible under the reciprocity agreement, or for determining what is necessary to gain acceptance so that the unit will be eligible for VA financing.

b. In the case of an established existing PUD, as defined below, the lender has the responsibility to make the final determination that the project satisfies the defined criteria in subparagraphs d and e below. To make the determination that the project is an established existing PUD, the lender often will need access to certain project information that is not always

readily available (such as legal documents, ownership and project status, and/or relationship with an overall development). For this reason, the lender may rely on the PUD homeowner's association, the PUD management company, or the fee appraiser as sources for information, although the lender is ultimately responsible and is expected to make a reasonable effort to ensure the accuracy of the information obtained from these sources.

c. Definitions. The definitions in paragraph 2 apply to an established existing planned unit development.

d. Established Existing PUD. For the purposes of this paragraph, a PUD exists where:

(1) Control of the homeowner's association has been turned over to the unit purchasers, other than the declarant or affiliate of the declarant, and the unit purchasers have been in control of the association for at least 1 year.

(2) The Declarant or builder is no longer marketing any units in

4. Title. The veteran must receive an estate in realty that meets the requirements of VA Regulation 4350 (38 CFR 36.4350). The veteran's estate may not be subject to unreasonable restraints upon alienation which would adversely affect the title to,, or the marketability of the unit. Likewise, restrictions on the normal use and occupancy of property that are inherent in fee ownership are not acceptable. Developments in which the following restrictions exist against an individual unit owner's right to alienate or use and enjoy his or her unit will not be acceptable to the VA:

a. Right of first refusal unless such right is in strict conformity with VA Regulation 4350(B)(5) (38 CFR 36.4350(b)(5)).

b. Leasing restrictions which amount to unreasonable restrictions on use and occupancy of a unit.

(1) Right of first refusal applicable to leasing a unit.

(2) Right of prior approval of either a prospective purchaser or tenant.

(3) Prohibition against leasing of a unit for a period in excess of 6 months.

5. The Properties

a. The covenants must contain an adequate legal description of the property, including the common area and the lots, and appropriate language subjecting that property to the covenants (introductory paragraph of VA Form 26-8201, Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions). VA prefers that the description of the property be written into the body of the covenants rather than by reference to an attached exhibit. Any exhibit, schedule, or plat referenced or attached as an exhibit must be recorded with the Declaration unless it is already on record. If the development plan contemplates annexation of additional land area, it is not necessary, or recommended, that more than the first stage be initially subjected to the covenants.

b. Annexation of additional properties must have the consent of two the lot owners (excluding the developer) except when annexed pursuant to a staged development plan in accordance with subparagraph c of this paragraph.

c. In a staged development, the developer may annex additional land without the consent of the lot owners only if the annexation is: (1) limited to a stated, reasonable time; (2) limited to a defined area; (3) in accordance with a general plan filed with VA; and (4) approved by VA (VA Form 26-8200, Appendix Form #5).

d. The first stage of a staged development must be a self-contained unit capable of independent existence in the event the plan does not progress beyond that point. After each stage is annexed, the development, as enlarged, must be capable of existence without dependence on any proposed additional stages. A dilemma is created when a developer seeks to convey the entire development's recreational amenities to an HOA in the first stage, with support anticipated to be spread over future stages. If development goes no further, the HOA could not survive without a severe economic burden being placed upon the few early homeowners. The individual characteristics of each PUD determine the developer's ultimate solution.

(1) One recommendation might be for the developer to phase sections of the recreational area, sized commensurate with the number of lots bound to its support.

(2) Another possible solution might be for the developer to place the amenities in a later stage and forego credit in the CRV (Certificate

3

of Reasonable Value) until such time as there is sufficient HOA membership to support the amenity package. Stations must assure that builders/developers do not advertise facilities to be included in future stages in their current offering. The budget must be examined to determine that the homeowner assessment will not be used to support facilities that have not been completed and conveyed to the HOA. If, after VA approval of the general plan, but before completion and sale of those homes equaling the minimum number required to support the amenities, the builder wishes to convey the amenities to the HOA, VA approval must be secured.

(3) A third possible solution might be for VA to establish a presale requirement upon the whole project. Such a requirement, at least the numerical conclusion, must be based on local market conditions. The station must be satisfied that the HOA will have a sufficient number of members to insure the proper maintenance and operation of the facilities without the developer's assistance.

(4) A number of other alternatives may be acceptable as long as they result in the project, to that point, being feasible.

e. If onsite vehicular parking space is not provided on each lot, provision for offsite parking space must be included. The homeowner should be assured that he or she has sufficient permanent exclusive parking space in the common area and that other owners may not claim a right to its use by virtue of their general easement (VA Form 26-8200 Appendix Form #3b).

f. It is recommended, particularly in townhouse developments, that the HOA provide exterior maintenance of residences (VA Form 26-8200, Appendix Form #2a). If there are exterior features which the HOA would not maintain, such as patios or carports, those features may be itemized. In PUD's where exterior maintenance is not contemplated as a normal function of the HOA, a provision is recommended whereby the HOA could perform maintenance if a homeowner fails to keep his or her residence in a satisfactory manner (VA Form 26-8200, Appendix Form #2b).

g. In no event should the HOA provide interior maintenance of structures it does not own.

h. Common utility lines in planned-unit developments must not pass over, under, or through any of the units, and none of the connecting lines must run under any unit other than the one it services.

i. Customary use restrictions and easements for public utilities may be included in the covenants. A new article should be employed for this purpose.

6. The Common Area

a. To obtain VA PUD land planning and valuation considerations, it is necessary that common areas be owned by the HOA and consist of one or more elements other than open flood plain, street lighting, or unusable

open space of a nature which will not enhance the values of properties in the development.

(1) If the use of the common areas has been dedicated to the public, or common areas are privately owned by other than the HOA or the individual owners in common, the planned-unit development must be processed as a standard subdivision.

(2) Upon ascertaining that a subdivision is subject to a lien supported assessment and mandatory membership in an HOA or participation as a beneficiary under a trust arrangement, it becomes necessary to determine if there are more than nominal common amenities. If the amenities are nominal only, PUD processing criteria are not for application. Normally, before a determination can be made that more than nominal amenities exist, it should be found that other than governmental type services are provided. There should also be more than commonly owned minor open green spaces or roadway median or boundary strips. The existence of active recreational facilities would usually lead to a finding that the subdivision is a PUD, provided there are also lien supported assessments and mandatory membership.

(3) If it is determined that a subdivision is in fact a PUD, complete review of all the required exhibits will be necessary. However, in existing subdivisions, with no developer involvement, the review is to be primarily concerned with items that might violate 38 U.S.C. chapter 37, or the VA regulations. In addition, it should be determined that there is nothing contained therein that would be inoperative under State law. The sufficiency of the budget is to be considered in establishing value and the amount of the monthly assessment in credit underwriting.

(4) In the event the station determines that a particular subdivision subject to lien-supported assessments is not a PUD under the criteria set forth above, a full legal review of the documents will not be required. However, the lender should be advised by appropriate, prominent endorsement to the Certificate of Reasonable Value that there is a lien-supported assessment and that it is the lender's responsibility to assure that such assessment is subordinate to the VA guaranteed mortgage. In the event that there are instances where the assessment would be superior to the proposed guaranteed mortgage, the lender should seek additional advice from the regional office prior to closing the loan.

(5) Title 38, U. S. Code, section 1803(d)(3), requires that a VA guaranteed loan must be secured by a first lien on realty. In applying this section, it is important to note that as to any covenant that establishes a lien superior to the guaranteed mortgage recorded after the effective date of this section (June 6, 1969), the Administrator's approval, if appropriate, must have been secured prior to the recording of the covenants in question. Failure to secure the approval of the Administrator prior to recording may preclude the acceptance of any subdivision when the assessment lien primes the VA mortgage.

(6) In any instance in which the covenants were recorded prior to June 6, 1969, and provide for an assessment superior to the VA mortgage for governmental-type services, the matter should be submitted to Central Office (264) with a complete explanation as to the services provided, amenities if any, and the station's recommendation concerning approval.

b. The covenants must contain a provision granting each lot owner a non-exclusive easement for the use and enjoyment of the common area (except limited or restricted use areas accorded specific units), subject only to temporary suspension from use of recreational facilities for either the nonpayment of assessments or the failure to comply with reasonable BOA regulations governing the use of the common area (VA Form 26-8201, art. II, sec. 1(b)). The right to use the common area must be an easement appurtenant to the residential lots rather than a license held by virtue of association membership.

c. The common area, including all recreation facilities scheduled for the subject stage to be considered in value, must be fully completed and conveyed to the HOA free and clear of all liens and encumbrances prior to the first VA loan guaranty or direct loan in the project. Although VA policy requires a clear title to common areas in the HOA, there may be an occasional extraordinary submission containing a proposal to convey a portion or all of the common area to the HOA subject to existing liens. A developer may also propose to convey the property to the HOA for more than a nominal consideration. In either case the documents containing such provisions should be submitted to Central Office (264) along with a full explanation and the station recommendations. Any major common facilities to be completed in a later stage may not be reflected in the CRV valuation for subject stage. Title into the HOA must be confirmed by title policy or other locally acceptable evidence of title. Review of title evidence must be done by the District Counsel. Appropriate controls must be maintained and revised documents, if required, reviewed to insure compliance. Exceptions to this requirement that would allow a postponement of completion of common area within subject stage are not generally desirable or permitted. A station may not routinely permit postponement of improvements that will have a significant influence upon value, and for which the homeowner is paying, in the sales price of the property. The granting of permission to postpone completion of common areas and facilities within a stage under consideration should be limited to those instances in which completion is delayed due to circumstances beyond the control of the builder, such as adverse weather conditions and then only for the period of time deemed necessary for completion. Postponement simply for the convenience of the builder is unacceptable. If a cash escrow is accepted care should be taken to see that timely, periodic inspections are made by the Agency making the compliance inspections (VA or HUD (Department of Housing and Urban Development)) to assure that adequate progress toward completion is being made. Extensions of escrow agreements generally will not be granted except for the most cogent reasons. The same principles apply to proposals by builders to submit a letter of credit in lieu of a cash escrow to assure completion of postponed improvements. Such letters of credit to be acceptable must

be irrevocable and be a valid and binding obligation of the issuing bank. They must be for a term extending at least 90 days beyond the final date for completion of the improvements specified in the escrow agreements. It should be clearly understood that if the builder fails to perform and it is intended that the issuer of the letter of credit be called upon to pay, the holder of the letter of credit must make demand prior to expiration of the term of the letter of credit. The issuer has no liability after that date. Approval by VA/HUD of any request for an extension of the time for performance specified in the escrow agreement will be conditioned upon receipt of written assurance that the supporting letter of credit is likewise extended for a term ending not less than 90 days after the new date for performance by the builder. The holder of a letter of credit, and the party with the fiduciary responsibility to call upon its terms, must be a HUD-approved mortgagee having no identity of interest with the builder in whose behalf the letter of credit is issued in cases in which the builder is seeking dual financing. If HUD financing is not involved, a supervised lender, which is not a HUD approved mortgagee, may be accepted, provided it has no identity of interest with the builder. Since VA and HUD both are ordinarily involved in the same PUD's, it is essential that there be coordination between the two Agencies both as to permitting postponement of completion of the improvements located in the common area of a PUD and as to the acceptability of the type of assurance of completion the builder proposes to furnish. Initial maintenance assessments of the homes association will not be reduced because of postponement of completion of improvements to the common area but rather will be computed and levied as though all improvements have been completed prior to conveyance of the first lot. Any surplus funds generated can be used to create or increase the HOA's reserve for contingencies, and any adjustment in amount of future assessments deemed warranted, subsequent to completion of the improvements and takeover of control of the HOA by the property owners, may be made at a future date. The basis for this position is twofold:

(1) A builder should not be permitted to enjoy a competitive advantage in advertising a lower assessment by reason of postponement of improvements for which it is responsible.

(2) VA deems it important that buyers become accustomed to the necessity of paying the full amount of the assessment necessary to maintain the fully completed common area from the beginning of their ownership rather than a low assessment for the first year or so and then face the necessity for a sharply increased payment.

d. Any provision for action by the association which could affect the lot owners' easement in the common area (i.e., mortgage, conveyance, or dedication of the common areas; or annexation, merger, consolidation, or dissolution of the association) must have the assent of not less than two-thirds of each class of members. (See VA Form 26-8201, art. II, sec. 1(c); art. VI, sec. 4; and VA Form 26-8202, Articles of Incorporation, art. IV, subsecs. (d), (e), and (f); and art. VIII.) (See par. 12.)

- e. If ingress and egress to any residence are through the common area, conveyance or encumbrance of such common area must be subject to the lot owners' easement.
- f. The developer may not reserve to itself the right to mortgage or otherwise encumber the common area.
- g. Absolute liability for acts of family, guests, invitees, or licensees should not be imposed in the covenants, bylaws, or otherwise upon individual owners for damage to the common area or lots (including improvements) of others. Liability should be only that for which homeowners would be legally responsible under State law. Note that under the exterior maintenance clause in VA Form 26-8200, Appendix Form #2a, if the damage is to one's own property through the willful or negligent acts of the family, guests, or invitees of the owner, the cost of such repair shall be added to the assessment for that lot.
- h. Upon dissolution of the HOA its assets must be conveyed to another HOA or to an appropriate public agency having similar purposes (VA Form 26-8202, art. VIII).
- i. When local law does not govern tax assessment procedures, the taxes on the common areas should be assessable against the common areas only and the HOA should be solely responsible for the payment of such taxes, unless the tax base of the individual unit reflects its interest in the common areas without the need for assessment against the HOA.

7. HOA Assessments

- a. The covenants must provide for an annual assessment which is (1) lien supported, (2) applicable to all lots (except as may be exempt under subpar. g below), (3) in force before the first VA guaranty or direct loan, (4) adequate to both maintain common areas and replacement reserves, if required, and (5) uniform (VA Form 26-8201, art. IV, secs. 3, 6, and 7). If there are different types of property within a project, each with a different benefit to be derived from the association, the assessment for each type may be different, but within each type the assessment must be uniform. As an example, one PUD may have an area of single family detached homes and an area of townhouses. If only townhouses are to have exterior maintenance by the HOA the townhouse assessment may be greater. As another example, some areas may have private streets or parking areas while others do not. Nevertheless, units within a similar grouping must be assessed the same.
- b. The lien of any assessment levied by the HOA must be subordinate to the lien of a first mortgage (VA Form 26-8201, art. IV, sec. 9). Generally, the assessment should not be subordinate to any but the first mortgage. This provides greater assurance of assessment collectibility and increases the HOA's chances of remaining viable. Any attempt to condition the subordination to first liens by certain classes of lending institutions or specific lenders is generally objectionable. Such may have the effect of prohibiting direct loans or financing the sale of acquired properties by the VA.

c. The annual assessment must be fixed at a given maximum rate to enable purchasers to determine their financial obligation, and for VA to determine whether the amount is reasonable and adequate from a project standpoint, and acceptable from an individuals credit underwriting standpoint. Large PUD's have been allowed to tie assessments to local tax valuation rates, although this is not preferred and must be examined on a case basis. It is not acceptable, however, in proposed or developer controlled PUD's for the covenants to simply provide that the homeowner's assessment will be a proportionate share of the HOA annual expenses. obviously prospective purchasers and VA do not know what this will be and have no way to protect against developer's control of the budget. For projects in which the developer is out of control and there is a project "track record" for assessments, determinable from past budgets, this requirement may be waived.

d. The annual maximum assessment may not be increased without the assent of at least two-thirds of each class of members at a meeting called for that purpose with at least 60 percent of the lot owners or their proxies present after adequate notice. If 60 percent do not attend, a second meeting may be called with the same notice and the quorum may be reduced to 30 percent (VA Form 26-8201, art. IV, secs. 3(b) and 5). The board of directors may be permitted to increase the maximum annual assessment without a vote of the members, but such an adjustment should not exceed 5 percent of the previous year's maximum assessment (VA Form 26-8201, art. IV, sec. 3(a), or an amount to be determined by use of the Consumer Price Index formula). (See par. 13.)

e. The levy of special assessments must require not less than the same notice and approval as the increase of annual assessments, and should be by a vote of two-thirds of each class of members. Local law may provide differently in some jurisdictions that have statutes governing these voting requirements. (See par. 13.)

f. The developer may not be exempt from the payment of assessments. It would not be acceptable in a developer-controlled PUD for the covenants to provide that assessments for any lot be delayed until the lot is improved, or that a dwelling thereon be first occupied, or that the lot itself be conveyed. If the HOA is to perform services which will not benefit developer's unoccupied units, such as recreational supervision, a covenant provision may provide for a scaled down assessment for lots without an occupied dwelling, provided the financial stability of the association will not be jeopardized. In no event, however, should the scaled down assessment be less than 25 percent of that chargeable to other lots. If such scaled down rate is allowed, a full assessment must immediately and permanently attach to any lot upon the first occupancy of a dwelling thereon, although ownership of that lot is retained by developer. An exception to this requirement may be considered in large projects in which a budget has been established and the developer's responsibility to the HOA is to insure that budget by agreeing to make up any deficits. In such cases the station must determine the following:

(1) That the budget is reasonable and will realistically maintain HOA common area;

(2) That the individual owner's assessment share is reasonable and in proportion to the total number of lots involved; and

(3) That the developer's obligation creates a lien against land it owns in the PUD. It is most important for the protection of the HOA that the developer's budget responsibility be lien supported.

g. All properties dedicated to, and accepted by, a local public authority and all property owned by a charitable or nonprofit organization exempt from taxation under State law may be exempt from the HOA assessments, except that no land or improvement devoted to dwelling or commercial use may be so exempt (VA Form 26-8200, Appendix Form #1).

h. The interest rate chargeable on delinquent assessments generally must not exceed 6 percent per annum in proposed projects. A larger percentage will be acceptable in existing developments where the covenants so provide and cannot be readily changed.

i. Neither annual nor special assessments may be used for the construction of capital improvements during the development period if value is to be given for such improvements.

j. The HOA assessment may not be used to maintain property in which the HOA does not own an interest, except that the association may perform exterior maintenance upon residences (VA Form 26-8200, Appendix Forms #2a, 2b, and 2c).

k. The policy of the VA and HUD prohibiting mandatory group or blanket hazard insurance on residences in VA/FHA approved planned-unit developments with premiums paid through association assessments has been revised. A covenant providing for group or blanket hazard insurance of individual units with premiums paid through HOA assessments in a PUD, or in a specific section thereof, will be acceptable. Where the documents provide for group hazard insurance on units, the information brochure in proposed projects must explain that the policy covers losses on the structure only and that the group policy does not provide individual liability or personal contents protection.

1. Homeowners shall not be required by the Declaration to rebuild after destruction by fire or other casualty loss unless the units are insured under a group or blanket hazard insurance policy which contains a Replacement Cost Endorsement providing for replacement of a unit from insurance loss proceeds. When a policy with a Replacement Cost Endorsement is to be secured, or there is such a policy already in force, stations should recommend but not require that the sponsor or the HOA seek additional endorsements to assure the continuation of full replacement costs of the required reconstruction. The requirement for a Replacement Cost Endorsement to the blanket hazard policy may be waived in an existing project with a mandatory rebuilding clause when the units' are already insured under a group policy which

does not contain such endorsement. The HOA shall not be empowered by the Declaration to rebuild a unit and assess the cost to the unit owner. However, the

Declaration may provide that any shortfall in funds necessary to rebuild a unit by reason of under coverage through the blanket policy may be obtained through a special assessment levied against all unit owners. In any event it is permissible for the Declaration to require clearance of the lot within a reasonable time after unit destruction.

m. Mortgagees may not be required to collect assessments. Arrangements may be made with mortgagees for the collection of assessments, but such service must be voluntary and may not be a cause for default under the residential mortgage.

8. The Homeowners Association

a. VA strongly recommends that the HOA be incorporated to avoid problems concerning title, officers' and members' individual liability, and taxation. If an unincorporated HOA is present, there must be a full explanation by the sponsor of why such a form was used and how each of the named problems is to be handled.

b. Organization documentation must be in compliance with the law of the jurisdiction in which the property is located.

c. The covenants must contain a provision assuring the lot owner of automatic membership and voting rights in a nonprofit association, or other appropriate organization, empowered to levy lien-supported assessments (VA Form 26-8201, art. III., sec. 1). Developments in which legal title to common areas is vested in a trustee who controls the operation of the project are generally not preferred. Homeowners in trusteeship developments ordinarily do not have voting rights and cannot exercise any control over the operation and management of the association. The HOA is considered superior not only because it provides protection to the individual homeowners against tort liability by reason of its corporate structure, but the homeowners also have a greater voice in the management of an association as opposed to a trust. In some jurisdictions if the beneficiaries of a trust are afforded authority to make binding decisions concerning the rest of the trust, it has been determined that a true trust does not exist. However, the trust format need not preclude approval of existing projects if all of the other factors are acceptable. In proposed projects developers should be encouraged to use the HOA arrangement. Membership must be appurtenant to, and inseparable from, unit ownership. The corporate documents may not permit the association to exercise any discretion in admitting unit owners to membership (VA Form 26-8202, art. V). However, nonvoting membership may be granted to tenants and other persons who make use of the recreational facilities. If the membership in the HOA or the resulting assessment is voluntary, the development must be considered as a regular subdivision for land planning purposes, and the common area may not be considered in the CRV valuation other than the effect it may have on the neighborhood.

d. The developer's control of the association must be limited as to time and extent. A weighted vote of more than 3 to 1, or a retained developer veto right beyond 75 percent sellout

is generally unacceptable. In addition to the automatic transfer of control to the homeowners upon

the sale of 75 percent of the lots, an alternate event for the termination of developer's weighted vote must be provided to preclude unreasonably prolonged developer control in a slow sales market. The most common provision is a delimiting date upon which developer's weighted vote will cease should that date precede the event of a 75 percent sellout. The specific date selected should be no later than the estimated time required to complete and market 75 percent of the dwellings in the development (VA Form 26-8201, art. III, sec. 2; and VA Form 26-8202, art. VI). (See par. 12.) In very large projects different approaches may be necessary to insure sufficient developer control for completion and marketing, with appropriate safeguards in the event of developer project abandonment. Generally, declarant's special voting rights should terminate if construction is abandoned (e.g., no new unit construction has been initiated for a period of 6 months unless there is evidence of continuing construction). Sponsor's request for variance of this requirement, with explanation of why it was needed, should be forwarded to Central Office (264) for approval.

e. While the developer controls the association, any action which may affect the basic organization of the HOA or the common area (i.e., merger, consolidation, or dissolution of the HOA); dedication, conveyance, or mortgage of the common area; annexation of additional properties; or amendment of previously approved documents must be approved by VA (VA Form 26-8201, art. VI, sec. 5; VA Form 26-8202, art. XI; and art. XIII, sec. 1 of VA Form 26-8203, Bylaws). (See par. 13.)

f. Any rights reserved by the developer of a PUD project must be reasonable and consistent with the overall plan for each submission. The following rights when reserved by the developer, its affiliates, the sponsor of a project, or any other party, usually would be unacceptable:

- (1) Leasing of common areas to the HOA (must have Central office approval);
- (2) Accepting leases from the HOA;
- (3) Accepting franchises or licenses from the HOA for the provision of central television antennas or like services;
- (4) Reserving the right to include in a PUD adjoining land without adequate restriction assuring that its future improvement will be of comparable style, quality, size and cost;
- (5) Retaining the right, by virtue of continued association control or otherwise, to veto acts of the HOA or to enter into management agreements or other contracts which extend beyond the date unit owners obtained control of the HOA; and
- (6) Reserving an unlimited right to amend the covenants or to replat lots or common area unless limited to changes specifically required by a reviewing agency to meet its requirements.

g. If the development includes multifamily or other rental housing,

12

the total vote of the owner or owners of such housing may not exceed 49 percent of the total vote cast.

h. The bylaws must permit participation by the homeowners during and after the development period. Unless local law provides otherwise, membership meetings should be held at least annually, beginning within 1 year after incorporation. Special meetings of the association should be permitted upon written request of a reasonable percentage of the unit owners other than developers. Quorum requirements for regular business should not be so high as to preclude valid meetings. Nomination for candidates for director from the floor should be permitted. Cumulative voting should not be permitted unless required by State law. Directors and officers should normally serve without compensation. (See VA Form 26-8203, art. III, secs. 1, 2, and 4; art. IV, sec. 4; and art. V, secs. 1 and 2.)

i. The board of directors must be sufficiently large to permit reasonable representation of the lot owners. A board of three directors should be provided only in very small developments or on the initial board. Most associations should have a board of at least seven or nine directors (VA Form 26-8203, art. IV, sec. 1).

j. If the association is authorized to suspend a lot owner's right to use recreational facilities or his or her voting rights for infraction of its rules or regulations, the suspension should not exceed 60 days (VA Form 26-8201, art. II, sec. 1(b); and VA Form 26-8203, art. VII, sec. 1(b)). If the suspension of these rights is for failure to pay assessments, it may extend for the time the assessments are delinquent.

k. Each lot owner, as well as the association, must be empowered to enforce the covenants (VA Form 26-8201, art. VI, sec. 1). The developer should not be specifically authorized to enforce covenants. The developer has such authority while it owns a lot in the development and, after all lots are sold, it has no reason to exercise such authority.

l. The books and records of the association must be available for inspection by the members at reasonable times (VA Form 26-8203, art. X).

m. Amendment of the covenants should be difficult yet not impossible. We recommend a requirement of 90 percent of lot owners to amend the covenants during the first 20 years and 75 percent thereafter (VA Form 26-8201, art. VI, sec. 3). The articles of incorporation (if State law permits) should have the assent of at least 75 percent of the lot owners to amend (VA Form 26-8202, art. X). The bylaws need only a majority vote of the lot owners to amend (VA Form 26-8203, art. XIII, sec. 1). Provisions allowing amendment of the bylaws by the board of directors are generally unacceptable.

n. If any of the foregoing provisions conflict with local ordinances, then the local ordinance will govern.

9. Supporting Documents

13

- a. Plats must either be recorded or in final form ready for recording. Incomplete plats or schematic drawings are unacceptable. They must show the area intended to be subjected to the covenants. The lots and common area locations as well as utility easements should be shown by metes and bounds. There should be a dedication of common areas to homeowners so as to Preclude the implication of public use (VA Form 26-8200, Appendix Form #6). Public streets should be so designated. There should be an incorporation of the covenants by reference. If map or plat has been recorded, an amendment will not be necessary if the property and the common area can be identified and pinpointed by the description given in the covenants and an acceptable dedication of the common area is contained in that declaration. If the language is unfair, ambiguous or contradictory, the matter should be referred to District Counsel.
 - b. Many PUD's are small enough and their common areas so minimal. that professional management is not necessary. VA does not have a requirement for Professional management of PUD'S. The powers given to the HOA by the articles of incorporation and bylaws are fundamentally for 'use control' and maintenance of the common areas. These powers normally include management which may, if desired, be delegated to a professional manager. However, if a developer or developer-controlled board wants Professional management, the management agreement must be reviewed by the station and found to be reasonable. The agreement should be terminable for cause or upon reasonable notice, and run for a period of from 1 to 3 years, renewable by consent of the association and management.
 - c. When a project is submitted by a builder or developer, a written statement in simple terms must be prepared for use in the sales program to inform all home buyers about the HOA and the rights and obligations of lot owners. Specific information to be included in the brochure is set forth on page iii of VA Form 26-8200.
 - d. Where applicable under local law it is recommended that the deed to the lot owners contain a clause precluding any implication that the grantee takes title to the middle of abutting private streets or common areas (VA Form 26-8200, Appendix Form #7).
 - e. When submission is by a builder or developer, the form of purchase agreement to be used must avoid unfair contractual features and marketing practices (see M26-1, par. 5.06) and meet the requirements of VA Regulation 4303(J) (38 CFR 36.4303(j)) as to contract purchase price or cost exceeding the VA CRV as well as 38 U.S.C. 1806(a) relating to escrow deposits.
10. Presale Requirement. The possible need for a presale requirement must be considered in all cases. The number or percentage of presales required, if any, will vary with the circumstances and may be as high as 80 percent. All bona fide sales agreements; i.e., VA/FHA (Federal Housing Administration), conventional financing, and cash purchases will be counted in determining whether the presale requirement is fulfilled. When imposed, the presale

requirement will be set forth in the initial feasibility letter, in the Special Conditions, and until the requirement has been met, in each MCRV (Master Certificate of Reasonable

August 27, 1980

DVB Circular 26-80-34

Value) or CRV. Until the requirement is met, no evidence of guaranty will be issued. The presale requirement will be stated as follows:

'Evidence of guaranty will not be issued until receipt of proof that (total-number or percentage) of homes have been sold.'

In those instances in which the local FHA office is currently considering acceptance of the development, coordination with that Agency will include coordination in respect to whether or not to impose a presale requirement and, if so, the number or percentage thereof. While, of course, the VA is not bound to follow FHA's course in this, due weight will be given to its views. If prior to submission of the development to the VA, FHA has already set a presale requirement, the VA will normally specify the same presale requirement. In order to avoid inconvenience to the developer VA field stations may accept the statement of the appropriate official in the local FHA office as to the number or percentage of sales attained and will not require a second submission of proof to the VA.

11. Processing PUD's With Minimal Common Area. When, as part of a homeowner's title, there is granted use and enjoyment to common property owned by an association with a mandatory membership, a station must consider the 'trade-off' that a homeowner usually must make (common area or lot size) before it decides to vary the MPS (Minimum Property Standards) land use intensity and give value in the CRV for the common property. If a station determines that the PUD common area is not a major or essential element of the development (e.g., open flood plain or berm strip), the project will not be processed as a PUD, but will be treated as a regular subdivision with a mandatory HOA (see par. 6a(2) and (4)). Loan Guaranty must give careful consideration to these projects with mandatory HOA's with minimal common areas before waiving PUD review. The decision to waive document review must be supported by a written finding that common areas are minimal.

12. Processing PUD's With More Than Minimal Common Area. If there is a mandatory HOA and the station finds the common area is more than minimal, then it must be sure that:

a. The mandatory assessment and membership are considered in computing the value for the CRV;

b. The lien of assessments is subordinate to the first mortgage or deed of trust, unless it falls within the exceptions stated in VA Regulation 4352 (38 CFR 36,4352) ;

c. Title qualifies under VA Regulation 4350 (38 CFR 36.4350); and

d. The organizational documents are not so objectionable as to preclude acceptance. The following, when found in the documents, are usually unacceptable:

(1) Lot owners having no vote or control in the management of the HOA;

(2) Right to vote delayed for an unreasonably long time;

15

- (3) No limitation or control by the lot owners over increases in assessments;
- (4) No protection against arbitrary or capricious actions by the developer or its successors, including retention of rights by the developer after its ownership interest in the land has ceased; and
- (5) Lot owners compelled to pay assessments for the use of public facilities and services which are normally paid through taxes.

NOTE: This list is not intended to be all inclusive, nor does it imply that the existence of one item will cause disapproval of a project, especially an existing project. A station must examine the remainder of the document clauses to determine if, considered as a whole, they are fair, reasonable, and protect the interests of the lot owners.

13. Review Approach. Each project must be evaluated on its own merits. Proposed construction and the documentation associated with it should be held to a high degree of compliance. In existing subdivisions the possibility of complying with VA requirements is more limited and is often determined by the project's state of development with difficulties encountered in correcting or amending the documents. Flexibility and sound judgment are required to prevent an overly protective or too rigid approach. Practices harmful to the veteran should be discouraged, but the building of homes to meet the needs of veterans should be encouraged. The largest area of abuse found in PUD documents is developer over control with homeowners not being able to fully direct the destiny of their association. Stations must be aware of the fact that when a developer loses voting control, many variances with VA's requirements are determined to be moot. A document change, of course, need not be made if an issue is moot. Once the developer is out of control various requirements having to do with protecting homeowners, such as the percentages that are required to accomplish certain actions, or the need for prior VA approval, are not as important and may be waived. Flexibility must be exercised in determining the reasonableness of a submitted provision. When it is difficult to amend and defects are limited to the unreasonably retained controls of the developer, stations may consider seeking a separate recordable agreement from the developer to relinquish the objectionable controls, thereby curing the defects without having to amend the documents.

14. Supplemental Sources. For general background and philosophy of the PUD concept see Urban Land Institute Technical Bulletin 50, The Homes Association Handbook, revised edition.

15. Implementation Instructions

a. Loan Guaranty Division - Initial Review

(1) Organizational documents will be reviewed by the Loan Guaranty Construction and Valuation Section for a determination that (a) all necessary documents have been submitted, and (b) that documents conform to VA policy as stated herein. If a submission is found to be incomplete, the sponsor shall be requested to supply the specific

October 16, 1981

DVB Circular 26-80-34
Change 2

documents that are missing or incomplete and considered necessary for a full review.

(2) The feasibility analysis and environmental review for PUD's shall follow the procedures as outlined in M26-2, chapter 4, and DVB Circular 26-75-37.

(3) The completed review by Loan Guaranty will result in written recommendations and requirements for project approval.

[(4) Processing Under the Limited Review Procedure

(a) In most circumstances upon completion of Loan Guaranty's initial review each new PUD submission shall be referred immediately to the District Counsel for review. However, referral to the District Counsel is not required for any submission when a complete set of project documentation is submitted accompanied by a certification from the sponsor or sponsor's attorney that the submitted documents are identical to a previously approved and specifically identified set of documents except for the name and the legal description of the project. Referral to the District Counsel is also not required if the completed set of documents consists of the VA Form 26-8200 series, Suggested Legal Documents for Planned-Unit Developments, accompanied by a certification from the sponsor or sponsor's attorney to that effect. In addition, if it is determined by the Loan Guaranty Officer that the submitted project documents are in fact a completed set of the Suggested Legal Documents for Planned-Unit Developments, with the blanks properly completed, the project may be approved without District Counsel review even though no certification is submitted. In this regard, the Loan Guaranty Officer should obtain a one-time certification from the District Counsel as to the legal adequacy under State law of the Suggested Legal Documents for Planned-Unit Developments, VA Form 26-8200 series, in the station's particular jurisdictional area(s).

(b) If the submitted complete set of PUD documents have any variations (other than name and legal description) from the submitted documents of a previously approved project or the Suggested Legal Documents for Planned-Unit Developments, each variation must be specifically pointed out in the sponsor's or sponsor's attorney's certification. Only these variations will be subject to District Counsel or Loan Guaranty review and approval as appropriate.

(c) Some documents such as the plat, public offering statement (information brochure), sales contract for proposed developments, etc., of necessity vary from project to project. District Counsel review and guidance as to these documents (particularly as to the sufficiency of the plat) should be sought, if needed. District Counsel assistance should also be sought if inconsistencies appear between project legal documentation; e.g., plat and the description of the common area in the declaration.

(d) The Loan Guaranty PUD project folder shall be annotated in writing as to the elimination or limiting of District Counsel PUD reviews. The written approval shall also incorporate any approval of variations between previously approved projects and the submitted project.

(e) The Loan Guaranty Officer may elect to have a full District Counsel review of project legal documentation which meets the criteria for a lesser review. Normally, such full reviews would be based on items such as the receipt of an incorrect sponsor's or sponsor's attorney's certification, or the belief that the party making the certification lacks the requisite expertise in planned-unit developments and the VA requirements with respect thereto to correctly make the certification, etc.

(f) On those projects in which District Counsel review is eliminated or limited to review of the variations from a previously approved project or the Suggested Legal Documents, it is expected that both the Loan Guaranty and District Counsel reviews of such projects shall be accomplished on an expedited basis.]

b. Office of District Counsel

(1) Review of organizational documents will be to determine that:

(a) The declaration of covenants, articles of incorporation, bylaws, plats, and other related exhibits comply with local statutory and common law. If additional information is required to conform to local law, it should be detailed.

(b) The project scheme for land development is legally enforceable.

1. The uniform scheme must be clearly set forth by the plat and covenants, and must run with the land.

2. The scheme must apply uniformly to all of the lots subject to the covenants. If it is not uniform it may be unenforceable under local law (see par. 22.3, TB 50, ULI, The Homes Association Handbook, revised edition).

3. Any retained rights in the declarant to modify the covenants may make them unenforceable.

(c) The common area is clearly defined or can be defined by metes and bounds. If recorded plats (or plats to be recorded) are referred to they should be unmistakably identified (i.e., complete title, date, name of the draftsman, place where recorded, and book and page). The common area may be defined as all of the described property less the lots and streets as shown on the plat, if the perimeter of the property is shown by metes and bounds, and the lots and streets are described by metes and bounds on the plat.

October 16, 1981

DVB Circular 26-80-34
Change 2

- (d) Common area for which PUD consideration is based and value given is not dedicated to the public either by an express or implied provision. Conflicting dedicatory provisions of plats and covenants must be interpreted under local law.
- (e) Easements granted in plats, covenants and deeds clearly identify encumbered realty. It is acceptable to describe them in the covenants as those public utility easements as originally programmed, described and/or platted, and existing at time common area is conveyed to the HOA. If the easements are too vague, then action must be recommended for necessary clarification.
- (f) All organizational document amendments and PUD annexations have been properly made in accordance with the procedures spelled out in the documents.
- (g) Nothing in the documents precludes unit mortgages from establishing valid first liens.
- (h) Nothing in the documents precludes veteran purchasers from acquiring title as specified in paragraph 4.
- (2) The procedure outlined above may not be necessary after the closing of the first case in a project unless there were amendments. District Counsel will advise station management in writing as to review findings and will indicate whether dockets for subsequent loans in the same project should be referred to District Counsel.
- [(3) The office of the District Counsel should review the Suggested Legal Documents for Planned-Unit Developments, VA Form 26-8200 series, and furnish Loan Guaranty a one-time certification as to the legal adequacy under State law of the Suggested Legal Documents in the station's particular jurisdictional area(s).]

c. Final Actions - Field Stations

[] Stations are now authorized to take final action on planned-unit developments and will issue notices of approval or disapproval following receipt of review findings from District Counsel, for all submissions except those listed in subparagraph d below. (Problems on a specific submission which are not covered by present standards or precedents should be referred to Central office (262) or (264) for guidance and assistance.)

d. Central Office Approval Required for New Towns

- (1) All stations will continue to forward to Central Office for concurrence, organizational documents, reviews, and determinations for "new towns":

18a

DVB Circular 26-80-34
Change 2

October 16, 1981

For the purposes of this requirement "new towns" are not limited to those projects developed under the National Urban Policy and New Community Development Act of 1970, Title VII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1970, Public Law 91-609, but include any development involving 2,000 acres or more under one

18b

sponsorship and significant portions of land designated for other than residential and recreational uses.

(2) Central Office (262) and (264) will review these submissions and concur in or modify station determinations for final development handling.

e. Loan Guaranty Division - Followup

(1) Notice to Sponsor. When a station's final determination has been complete (as concurred in or modified by Central Office when required), it will notify the sponsor of the status of the submission by dispatch of the ASP (accelerated subdivision processing) form(s) and/or a letter, as appropriate.

(2) Draft Documents. When the initial review of organizational documents is conducted on the basis of unrecorded draft documents, stations shall establish controls to insure that the organizational documents# as approved by VA, are recorded without change.

f. Loan Processing

(1) A listing of all approved planned-unit developments shall be maintained by the station for use by the Loan Processing Section. The listing must show which projects have been approved and the monthly assessment at time of approval, or if the fee appraiser has indicated a different assessment figure, the amount so indicated. For credit analysis purposes Loan Processing shall use the fee appraiser's assessment figure whenever it varies from that reported on VA Form 26-1802a, Application for Home Loan Guaranty.

(2) Purchase contracts for individual loans involving builder or developer sales shall comply with M26-1, paragraphs 5.05 through 5.07. Contracts submitted with individual loans by the original developer/sponsor must be the same as those reviewed initially for each project. Contracts submitted by other builder/sellers must be reviewed for compliance with the regulations.

(3) It is necessary to establish a positive control to prevent guaranteeing a loan in a particular PUD prior to compliance with the legal and special condition requirements. There are several ways to assure compliance with these requirements, such as conditioning CRV's or MCRV's with PUD requirements which are removed after all documents are received and approved by issuance of VA Form 26-6363, Endorsement to Certificate of Reasonable Value, eliminating the requirement. Regardless of the procedure used, controls and coordination between the Construction and Valuation and Loan Processing Sections which fit the particular needs must be established to assure that all PUD requirements have been met prior to issuance of guaranty on the first loan.

g. FHA Coordination. Stations will forward a copy of their final project reviews to the local FHA insuring office.

16. Releases

a. Central Office will furnish extracts of paragraphs 1 through 14 and exhibit A of this circular for stations to distribute to builders, developers, and lenders. Stations will combine extracts with their own releases rescinding local releases that are no longer applicable. Stations, in their local releases, may supplement exhibit A as needed to request additional copies or to adjust terminology for conformity with local usage. Copies of these releases need not be sent to Central Office.

b. To facilitate processing by requesters, stations shall periodically issue releases to program participants listing those planned-unit developments for which VA has reviewed and accepted the organizational documents.

17. The Office of the General Counsel concurs.

18. RESCISSIONS: DVB Circulars 26-64-19, 26-65-7, 26-67-38, 26-70-32, 26-72-21, and 26-75-30.

DOROTHY L. STARBUCK
Chief Benefits Director

Distribution: CO: RPC 2022 plus 25 additional copies to (26A5)
FD FLD; RPC 2022 plus 5 additional for District Counsel in ROA

PLANNED-UNIT DEVELOPMENT SUBMISSIONS
REQUIRED FORMS AND DOCUMENTS

RESALES
BUILDER- INDIVID-

DEVELOPER UAL UNITS

Submit all documents in duplicate unless otherwise indicated.

Legend: X - required

AA - as applicable

Section 1, Documents and Project Data - Onetime submission only for each project or individual request.

Draft organizational documents are acceptable. Section 2, Special Requirements - If applicable, these items must be submitted before guaranty of any loan.

- 1. DOCUMENTS AND PROJECT DATA
 - a. Declaration of covenants, Conditions & Restrictions. x x
 - b. Articles of Incorporation (if applicable). AA AA
 - c. Bylaws. x x

RESALES BUILDER- INDIVIDDEVELOPER UAL UNITS

- d. Documents a and/or b and c above for developments that are subject to more than one set of area use restrictions or require membership in more than one HOA. AA AA
- e. Declaration of Annexation. AA AA
- f. Recorded or final form plat or map with all proposed certifications, dedications and other narrative material included or incorporated by reference. Design and size of recreational facilities should be shown.
- g. Developer's general plan and schedule for development if project is staged. x
- h. Cross-easement. AA AA
- i. Facilities' Leases. AA AA
- j. Management Agreement. AA

August 27, 1980

DVB circular 26-80-34
Exhibit A

RESALES
BUILDER- INDIVID-
DEVELOPER UAL UNITS

k. Service Contracts. AA AA

1. Information Brochure. x

m. Form of purchase contract for individual

living unit.

n. Form of grant, deed or leasehold agreement (in those jurisdictions where leaseholds have been previously authorized by VA)

to be used in conveying individual living units.

o. Current association budget (proposed budget if project is a proposed submission).

p. Statement signed by officer of board of directors of HOA specifying any existing or pending special assessments and any pending litigation affecting the association or unit.

q. State reviewing agency's report. AA AA

RESALES
BUILDER- INDIVID-
DEVELOPER UAL UNITS

- r. VA Form 26-1805, Request for Determination of Reasonable Value (one set for each plan type or individual unit on MCRV applications).
 - s. VA Form 26-1852, Description of Materials, Plans and Specifications, for proposed projects.
 - t. VA Form 26-8492, Application for Subdivision Feasibility Analysis (ASP-1) for projects less than 1 year old (if FHA processed then only copy of FHA ASP-9).
2. SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS
- a. Certified copies of recorded organizational documents must be submitted that conform to previously accepted drafts.
 - b. Builder's warranty if unit is less than 1 year old.

RESALES
BUILDER- INDIVID-
DEVELOPER UAL UNITS

- c. In proposed projects, VA compliance inspection procedures or VA acceptance of FHA compliance inspection procedure applicable to proposed construction or evidence of enrollment in 10-year protection plan and final inspection.
- d. Evidence that recreational facilities have been completed and common area conveyed to approved HOA for stage under consideration.
- e. Acceptable evidence that title to common area in HOA is free of encumbrances. AA
- f. Lender's certification that presale requirement has been met. AA AA
- g. Evidence of flood insurance. AA AA
- h. Termite certification., AA x